

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,588	04/12/2004	Harland D. Couillard	UPCP 0102 PUS1	3553
22045 75	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
1000 TOWN CI TWENTY-SEC		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			3635	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷ : , •		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/822,588	COUILLARD, HARLAND D.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Basil Katcheves	3635		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 15-22 and 36-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 15-37,48,49 and 51 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 38-40,43,44,46 and 47 is/are rejected Claim(s) 41,42,45 and 50 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath of the oath	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-14, 23-35, and added new claims 48-51. pending claims 15-22 and 36-51 are examined below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 38-40, 43, 44, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,157,810 to Haller et al.

Regarding claims 38 and 46, Haller discloses a method of separating a first object (fig. 5: 2) from a second object (fig. 5: ground shown in hash marks) comprising engaging a first element (52) with the first object (2), the first element having a stop (fig. 5: 50), the second element (90) engages the stop (when swung) and supported by a support (84), wherein the second element is swingable from a first position which does not engage the stop (fig. 5: see space between 2nd element and 52, denoting the first position) to a 2nd position in which the 2nd element engages the stop.

Regarding claim 39, Haller discloses the 1st element as having a guide channel (fig. 5: area between 50 & 52) which receives the 2nd element (90).

Regarding claim 40, Haller discloses the 2nd element as having an I cross section (I cross section when viewed from end near numeral 90).

Regarding claim 43, Haller discloses both elements as being supported by the support member (fig. 5: 84).

Regarding claim 44, Haller inherently discloses the swing weight as being adjustable since it is designed to be pushed by a person. Different people will exert a variable force upon the element.

Regarding claim 47, Haller discloses the second element as oriented in a horizontal position during its swinging motion (midway of a full swing) with respect to the support.

Claim Objections

Claims 41, 42, 45 and 50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-37, 48, 49 and 51 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/06 have been fully considered but are moot under new grounds of rejections. Applicants argument regarding the double patenting rejection of the previous office action is persuasive. The double patenting rejection has been removed.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,588

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BKA

3/28/06

Carl D: Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

Page 4